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conformations can provide specific stabilization of the substrates by involving both carboxyls of the receptor in hydrogen bonding so that rotation is stopped. For the acridines, some protonation also occurs; this is accommodated in the tautomeric structures $\mathbf{5 a} \rightleftharpoons \mathbf{5 b}$ proposed for these complexes. Similar structures can

be envisioned for the complexes of malonate, maleate, and other anions that can be chelated between the convergent carboxyls.

Second, intermolecular NOE experiments ${ }^{11}$ revealed an $18 \%$ enhancement of the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ oxalate signal when $\mathrm{H}_{4}$ of the receptor 1b was irradiated in the $1: 1$ complex. These establish a propinquity between these nuclei that is consistent with the proposed structures 5.

Finally, a highly specific means of stabilization can be observed with substrates bearing suitably placed aromatic functions. These are stacking interactions between the pendant aryl and the large $\pi$ surface presented by 1. For example, benzylmalonic acid forms complexes with $\mathbf{1 a}$ or $\mathbf{1 b}$ in which large upfield shiftsd of the phenyl protons are observed in the NMR. ${ }^{12}$ In addition, homonuclear intermolecular NOE was observed between the ortho protons of the substrate and those lining the cleft of $\mathbf{1}$. These are similar to those observed in phenylalanine ${ }^{9}$ and heterocyclic diamines ${ }^{6}$ when these substrates are in contact with $\mathbf{1}$ in organic solvents.

The structural details of these complexes must await crystallographic analysis, but the facts are in accord with structure 6 for the benzylmalonic acid complex. In the meantime, we note


[^0]that the reversal of acidities resulting from the specific stabilization of conjugate bases has also been observed by Kimura ${ }^{13}$ in the chemistry of carboxylic acids in contact with macrocyclic polyamines.
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The formal replacement of a carbon by a phosphorus unit in the skeleton of each known type of alkene and cyclic or acyclic polyalkene $\pi$-complex $\left(\eta^{2}-\eta^{8}\right)$ suggests a wide range of interesting new structures. Until recently, these new structures were either unknown or very poorly investigated. However, during the last 4 years, it has become increasingly evident that such a formal replacement is possible in almost every conceivable case. ${ }^{2}$ For example, $\eta^{2}$-phosphaalkene (A), ${ }^{3} \eta^{3}$-phosphaallyl ( $\mathrm{B}^{4}$ and $\mathrm{C}^{5}$ ), and $\eta^{4}$-diphosphacyclobutadiene (D) ${ }^{6}$ complexes have all been described recently. At the moment, the most obvious gap in this series concerns the open $\eta^{4}$-phosphabutadiene structure ( E ). We wish to report here on the first known complexes of this type. Our


[^1] Rösch, W. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1986, 25, 644.


Figure 1. ORTEP drawing of one molecule of 7 . Vibrational ellipsoids are scaled to enclose $50 \%$ of the electron density. Hydrogen atoms are omitted. Principal bond distances ( $\AA$ ): W1-P 2.551 (2); W1-Cl 2.327 (7); W1-C2 2.334 (8); W1-C3 2.366 (8); P-W2 2.512 (2); P-C1 1.783 (8); P-C7 1.826 (8); C1-C2 1.44 (2); C2-C3 1.41 (1); C1-O4 1.387 (9); C2-C6 1.51 (1). Selected bond angles (deg): W1-P-C1 62.0 (2); W1-P-C7 112.3 (3); W1-P-W2 128.86 (8); C1-P-C7 110.9 (4); C1-P-W2 121.9 (3); C7-P-W2 111.7 (3); P-C1-C2 124.7 (6); P-C1-O4 116.9 (5); C2-C1-O4 117.8 (7); C1-C2-C3 118.0 (7); C1-C2-C6 120.5 (8); C3-C2-C6 121.3 (8); P-W1-C1 42.6 (2); P-W1-C2 71.6 (2); P-Wl-C3 79.1 (2); C1-Wl-C2 36.0 (3); C1-W1-C3 62.9 (3); C2-W1-C3 35.0 (4).
approach was based on the phosphinidene-carbene coupling previously described by us ${ }^{7}$ (eq 1).


We decided to replace the phenylethoxycarbene complex $\mathbf{2}$ by the vinylmethoxycarbene complex 3 in this kind of scheme. Accordingly, the precursor of $\mathbf{1}(\mathbf{4})^{9}$ was reacted with an equimolecular amount of carbene complex 3 in the presence of CuCl "which promotes the thermal decomposition of 4 " at ca. $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}^{10}$ (eq 2).


The four main products thus obtained were separated by two careful chromatographies on florisil at $-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with pentane/ $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(9 / 1$ then 10/1). Complex 6 results from the spontaneous dimerization of $\mathbf{1}$. ${ }^{11}$ The two isomeric complexes $7^{12}$ and $\mathbf{8}^{13}$ result

[^2]from the expected coupling (eq 3). Only 7 was fully characterized.


From a synthetic standpoint, the reaction described in this paper provides a new route for the preparation of a wide range of unhindered 1 -phosphabutadienes stabilized by $\pi$-complexation. Only a limited number of uncomplexed phospha- and diphosphabutadienes are presently known ${ }^{14}$ and all of them are heavily substituted by bulky groups for kinetic stabilization. From a spectroscopic standpoint, two peculiar features of 7 and 8 deserve some comments. In both cases, the phosphorus atom appears to be coupled only with the $\sigma$-bonded tungsten. The absence of coupling with the $\pi$-bonded tungsten has been already noted in a $\eta^{2}$-phos-phaalkene-W(CO) ${ }_{5}$ complex ${ }^{38}$ and in a $\eta^{5}$-phosphacyclopenta-dienyl-W(CO) ${ }_{3}$ I complex. ${ }^{15}$ This seens to be a general feature of all $\pi$-bonded phosphorus-transition-metal complexes. Indeed, similar $\pi$-complexes with platinum also show abnormally low ${ }^{1} J(\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{P})$ couplings when compared to "normal" $\sigma$-complexes. ${ }^{16}$ In the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ spectra of 7 and 8 , all the CO 's of the $\mathrm{W}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}$ moiety appear equivalent, suggesting fast CO exchange on the NMR time scale. Such is not the case in a $\eta^{4}$-1,4-diphenylbutadiene $\mathrm{W}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}$ complex which shows three different CO resonances at room temperature. ${ }^{17}$ The role of phosphorus on favoring this CO exchange in 7 and 8 is not yet understood. From a structural standpoint ${ }^{18}$ (Figure 1), the most noteworthy feature of 7 is the planarity of the phosphabutadiene unit (deviations are P, 0.000
(II) Marinetti, A.; Charrier, C.; Mathey, F.; Fischer, J. Oganometallics 1985, 4, 2134.
(12) 7: major isomer, $R_{f} \sim 0.15$ with pentane $/ \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ 10/1; red crystals, $\mathrm{mp} \sim 102^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{34} \mathrm{P} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) \delta-47.8$ (reference, external $85 \% \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$, $\delta+$ ve for downfield shifts), ${ }^{1} J\left({ }^{31} \mathrm{P}-{ }^{183} \mathrm{~W}\right)=244 \mathrm{~Hz}\left(\mathrm{~W}(\mathrm{CO})_{5}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 20.72(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{Me}), 51.07\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 65.85(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OMe}), 109.11\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P})\right.$ $=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, C \mathrm{Me}), 197.05\left[\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P})=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, cis $\left.\mathrm{CO}\left(\mathrm{W}(\mathrm{CO})_{5}\right)\right], 205.13$ (s, W(CO) $)_{4}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.68\left(A \mathrm{MX},{ }^{2} J(\mathrm{~A}-\mathrm{M})=2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$ endo), 2.69 (s, Me), 3.19 (AMX, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ exo), 4.19 (s, OMe), IR ( $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) \nu(\mathrm{CO})$ $\sim 2080 \mathrm{w}, 2060 \mathrm{~m}, 2010 \mathrm{~m}, 1977 \mathrm{~m}, 1955$ shoulder, 1933 vs ; mass spectrum (EI, $\left.70 \mathrm{eV},{ }^{184} \mathrm{~W}\right), m / z 812(\mathrm{M}, 16 \%), 560(\mathrm{M}-9 \mathrm{CO}, 96 \%), 514(560-\mathrm{Me}$ $-\mathrm{OMe}, 100 \%$ ). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{O}_{10} \mathrm{PW}_{2}: \mathrm{C}, 29.56 ; \mathrm{H}, 1.60 ; \mathrm{O}, 19.71$; P, 3.82; W, 45.30. Found: C, 29.81; H, 1.66; O, 19.22; P, 3.77; W, 45.43.
(13) 8: minor isomer, $R_{f} \sim 0.26$ with pentane $/ \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} 10 / 1$; red crystals, $\mathrm{mp} 75-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta-85.8 \mathrm{ppm},{ }^{1} J\left({ }^{31} \mathrm{P}{ }^{183} \mathrm{~W}\right)=219.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$ $\left(\mathrm{W}(\mathrm{CO})_{5}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 19.87(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{Me}), 49.00\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P})=13 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $64.48(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OMe}), 101.32\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}) 19 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CMe}\right), 197.38$ [d, ${ }^{2} J(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P})$ $=6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, cis $\left.\mathrm{CO}\left(\mathrm{W}(\mathrm{CO})_{5}\right)\right], 205.47\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{~W}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.44$ $\left(A M X,{ }^{2} J(\mathrm{~A}-\mathrm{M})=2.1,{ }^{4} J(\mathrm{~A}-\mathrm{X})=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$ endo $), 2.62(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{Me}), 3.27$ $\left(\mathrm{AMX},{ }^{4} J(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{X})=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$ exo $), 3.67(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OMe}) ; \mathrm{IR}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) \nu(\mathrm{CO})$ $\sim 2080 \mathrm{w}, 2062 \mathrm{~m}, 2018 \mathrm{~m}, 1970$ shoulder, 1945 vs. ; mass spectrum (EI, 70 $\left.\mathrm{eV},{ }^{184} \mathrm{~W}\right), m / z 812(\mathrm{M}, 27 \%), 560(\mathrm{M}-9 \mathrm{CO}, 100 \%)$; correct C, H elemental analysis.
(14) See, for example: Neilson, R. H. "X International Conference on Phosphorus Chemistry"; lecture B12, Bonn, 1986. Appel, R.; Fölling, P.; Schuhn, W.; Knoch, F. Tetrahedron Lett. 1986, 27, 1661 and references cited herein.
(15) Holand, S.; Mathey, F.; Fisher, J. Polyhedron 1986, 5, 1413.
(16) See, for example: Kroto, H. W.; Klein, S. I.;Meidine, M. F.; Nixon, J. F.; Harris, R. K.; Packer, K. J.; Reams, P. J. Organomet. Chem. 1985. 280, 281.
(17) Özkar, S.; Peynircioglu, N. B. Inorg. Chim. Acta 1986, 119, 127.
(18) Appropriate crystals of 7 were obtained by slow recrystallization in pentane $/ \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 / 1)$. $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{O}_{10} \mathrm{PW}_{2}: \mathrm{MW}=811.99$; monoclinic, $P 2_{2} / \mathrm{c}$, $a=9.207$ (3) $\AA, b=15.523$ (4) $\AA, c=17.137(5) \AA, \beta=103.40(2)^{\circ}, V$ $=2382.5 \AA^{3}, Z=4, d_{\mathrm{c}}=2.264 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$, Mo K $\alpha$ radiation, $t=-100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; red crystals ( $0.30 \times 0.40 \times 0.12 \mathrm{~mm}$ approximately); experimental absorption corrections; 5875 independent $\pm h k l$ reflections measured on a Philips PWI $100 / 16$ using the $\theta / 2 \theta$ flying step-scan mode; 4181 with $I>3 \sigma(I), R_{F}$ $=0.029, R_{w} F=0.04 \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{GOF}=1.35$ with $p=0.08$ in $\sigma^{2}(F)=\sigma_{\text {counts }}^{2}+(p I)^{2}$. Refinements were conducted by using full-matrix least squares, anisotropic factors for all nonhydrogen atoms, and isotropic factors for the hydrogens ( $B_{\mathrm{H}}$ $\left.=1.3 B_{\text {eqv }}(c)\right)$ which were introduced by their computed coordinates $(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}=$ $0.95 \AA$ ). All computations were done using the ENRAF NONIUS SPD/PDP package.
(2); Cl 0.009 (8); $\mathrm{C} 2,-0.013$ (9); $\mathrm{C} 3,0.007$ (10) $\AA$ ); W 2 is out of this plane by $-0.108 \AA$. W1 is above this plane and the three W1-Ci bond lengths are not significantly different from each other with a mean value of 2.342 (5) $\AA$. The W1-P bond length of 2.550 (2) $\AA$ is somewhat longer than 2.512 (2) $\AA$, the W2-P bond length which is in the range of those found elsewhere. The C7-C12 phenyl ring lies on the opposite side of the $\mathrm{PC}_{3}$ plane with respect to the $\mathrm{W} \mid(\mathrm{CO})_{4}$ group and its mean plane makes a dihedral angle of $106.6(2)^{\circ}$ with the $\mathrm{PC}_{3}$ mean plane. The $\mathrm{PC}_{3}$ system seems
to be fully delocalized: the two $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ C bond lengths are nearly equal (respectively 1.442 (11) and 1.414 (11) $\AA$ for the central and terminal bonds) and the P-C bond is short (1.783 (8) $\AA$ vs. $1.84 \AA$ for a single P-C bond length, compare with the structure of a $\eta^{5}$-phosphacyclopentadienyl-W $(\mathrm{CO})_{3} \mathrm{I}$ complex ${ }^{15}$ ).

We are currently starting to develop the chemistry of these new $\eta^{4}$-phosphabutadiene complexes.

Supplementary Material Available: Table I, positional parameters and their estimated standard deviations for all non-hydrogen atoms; Table II, $U_{i j}$ with their estimated standard deviations; Table III, positional parameters for the hydrogen atoms; Table IV, bond distances with their estimated standard deviations; and Table V, bond angles with their estimated standard deviations ( 8 pages); Table VI, observed and calculated structure factor amplitudes $(\times 10)$ for all observed reflections ( 17 pages). Ordering information is given on any current masthead page.

## Dramatic Solvent and Stereoelectronic Effects in a Biomimetic Oxidation: 9,10-Dialkylanthracenes
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7,12-Dimethylbenz [a]anthracene (DMBA) and other alkylated aromatics undergo ring oxygenation in the presence of rat-liver microsomes containing the ubiquitous cytochrome $\mathrm{P}_{450}$ to yield a dihydroepoxy diol. ${ }^{1}$ The water-soluble fraction from the same tissue, i.e., cytosol, yields not ring oxygenation but methyl hydroxylation (see Figure 1). ${ }^{2}$ This diversity has been interpreted in terms of a dichotomy between direct ring oxygenation in the former case and one-electron oxidation to a radical cation in the latter. Because of their high acidity, such radical cations undergo rapid deprotonation leading ultimately to formation of hydroxymethyl products. ${ }^{3}$ Thus the absence of such products during oxidation of DMBA by cytochrome $\mathrm{P}_{450}$ is difficult to reconcile with the known propensity of this enzyme to form radical cations of higher potential hydrocarbons. ${ }^{4}$ We now report results on the oxidation of the title compounds which suggest that this dichotomy is the result of a solvent effect.

Our curiosity was stimulated by the divergent biochemistry of the structurally analogous yet noncarcinogenic 7,12 -diethylbenz[a]anthracene and 6-ethylbenzo[a]pyrene.5 These possible

[^3]

Figure 1. Ring vs. side-chain oxidation in DMBA oxidation.
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Figure 2. Oxidation of EMA.

Table I. Effect of $\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]$ on Oxidation of
9-Ethyl-10-methylanthracene ${ }^{a}$

|  | $\%$ yield $^{b}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right], \mathrm{M}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ |
| 2.78 | 11.1 | 4.0 | 76.5 |
| 5.56 | 24.9 | 5.7 | 62.9 |
| 8.33 | 37.1 | 7.0 | 50.2 |
| 11.1 | 46.2 | 8.4 | 40.6 |
| rat-liver microsomes ${ }^{\text {c.d }}$ |  |  |  |
| 1 h | 3.2 |  | 83.4 |
| 20 h | 4.1 |  | 77.3 |
| rat-liver cytosol |  |  |  |
| 20 h | 85.7 |  | 8.9 |

${ }^{a}$ Reaction in 20 mL of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{MeCN}$ with 3.00 mM 9-ethyl-10methylanthracene (EMA) and 4.5 mM tris(phenanthroline)tris(hexafluorophosphate)iron at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min was followed by ether precipitation of iron salts. ${ }^{b}$ Yields based upon recovered starting material. ${ }^{c}$ Solutions of dialkylanthracene in $20 \%$ aqueous dimethylformamide were incubated at room temperature (ca. $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). ${ }^{d}$ Anthraquinone was also formed in yields of $6.5 \%(1 \mathrm{~h})$ and $10.7 \%(20 \mathrm{~h})$. No oxidation was observed with denatured enzyme.
"changes in metabolism" ${ }^{5}$ might have their origin in a stereoelectronic effect inhibiting facile deprotonation of the ethyl group of the radical cation, which is maintained perpendicular to the aromatic plane by the presence of significant peri interactions. ${ }^{6}$ Thus use of a substrate, 9 -ethyl-10-methylanthracene, which incorporated both features, should allow us to quantitatively assess the importance of such a stereoelectronic effect by determining the relative ratio of hydroxymethyl- to 1-hydroxyethyl-substituted anthracenes upon one-electron oxidation.

Treatment of a 3 mM solution of 9,10 -dimethylanthracene (DMA), 9-ethyl-10-methylanthracene (EMA), and 9,10-diethylanthracene (DEA) with tris(phenanthroline)tris(hexafluorophosphate)iron in 10:90 water acetonitrile ${ }^{7}$ under argon

[^4]
[^0]:    (11) The program described by Cativiela and Sanchez-Ferrando (Cativiela, C.; Sanchez-Ferrando, F. Magn. Reson. Chem. 1985, 1072-1075) was used on an IBM $300-\mathrm{MHz}$ instrument; $90 \%$ enriched ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ oxalic acid was dissolved in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ using the receptor $\mathbf{1 b}$ and selective irradiation of $\mathrm{H}_{4}$ led to the difference spectra for the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ resonance of the bound oxalate at 162.84 ppm .
    (12) Chemical shifts $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ observed for the phenyl group of 6 were 6.85 , t (para); 7.02, t (meta); and 7.25 ppm , d (ortho). The difference NOE experiment was similar to that recently described: Pirkle, W. H.; Pochapsky, T. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 5627-5628. Nehaus, D. J. Magn. Reson. 1983, 53, 109-114. A $5 \%$ enhancement of the $\mathrm{H}_{4}$ signal was observed.

[^1]:    (1) (a) Ecole Polytechnique. (b) Institut Le Bel.
    (2) This topic has been reviewed very recently: Mathey, F. Nouv. J. Chim., in press.
    (3) (a) van der Knaap, Th. A.; Jenneskens, L. W.; Meeuwissen, H. J.; Bickelhaupt, F. J. Organomet. Chem. 1983, 254, C33. (b) Cowley, A. H.; Jones, R. A.; Stewart, C. A.; Stuart, A. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983, 105, 3737. (c) Cowley, A. H.; Jones, R. A.; Lasch, J. G.; Norman, N. C.; Stewart, C. A.; Stuart, A. L.; Atwood, J. L.; Hunter, W. E.; Zhang, H.-M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1984, 106, 7015. (d) Al-Resayes, S. I.; Klein, S. I.; Kroto, H. W.; Meidine, M. F.; Nixon, J. F. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1983, 930. (e) Werner, H.; Paul, W.; Zolk, R. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1984, 23, 626. (f) Appel, R.; Casser, C.; Knoch, F. J. Organomet. Chem. 1985, 293, 213. (g) Deschamps, B.; Mathey, F. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1985, 1010. (4) Mercier, F.; Fischer, J.; Mathey, F. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1986, 25, 357.
    (5) Appel, R.; Schuhn, W.; Knoch, F. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1985, 24, 420.
    (6) Hitchcock, P. B.; Maah, M. J.; Nixon, J. F. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1986, 737. Binger, P.; Milczarek, R.; Mynott, R.; Regitz, M.;

[^2]:    (7) Hoa Tran Huy, N.; Mathey, F. Organometallics 1987, 6, 207.
    (8) Dötz, K. H.; Kuhn, W.; Ackermann, K. Z. Naturforsch., B 1983, 38B, 1351.
    (9) Marinetti, A.; Mathey, F.; Fischer, J.; Mitschler, A. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1982, 667.
    (10) Marinetti, A.; Mathey, F. Organometallics 1984, 3, 456.

[^3]:    (1) (a) L.ee, H.: Harvey, R. G. J. Org. Chem. 1986, 5I, 3502. (b) For a general review, see: Dipple, A.; Moschel, R. C.; Bigger, C. A. H. Chemical Carcinogenesis, 2nd ed.; Searle, C. E., Ed.; ACS Monograph 182, American Chemical Socicty: Washington. DC, 1984; Vol. 1. pp 41-163.
    (2) (a) Flesher, J. W.; Myers, S. R. Cancer Lett. 1985, 26, 83. See also: (b) Boyland, E.: Sims, P. Biochem. J. 1965, 95, 780. (c) Dipple, A.; Lawley, P. D.; Brookes. P. Eur. J. Cancer 1968. 4, 493. (d) Cavalieri, E.; Sinha, D.; Rogan. E. Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons: Chemistry and Biological Effects: Battelle Memorial Institute Fourth International Symposium. Battelle Press: Columbus, OH, 1980; p 214.
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